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The Origins of Anti-Bullying Legislation in Europe

• In 1993, Sweden was the first country to take legislative action to mitigate the effects of bullying at the workplace

  - Employers were charged with the duty to establish preventative organizational measures and to swiftly investigate, mediate and counter any instances of bullying

  - The law was a “non-punitive” approach aimed at resolving bullying through dialogue and consensus as opposed to sanctions
A Comprehensive Network of Bullying Laws

• This trend has been reinforced by European Union (EU) laws prohibiting discrimination, promoting equal treatment and ensuring that employers look after the health and safety of their employees.

• As a result, a comprehensive network of EU and national on bullying and stress exist today.
Bullying at work is a widespread and costly problem for employers

- 22% of European workers suffer from work-related stress
- One in twenty workers have been the target of workplace bullying
- Stress is a factor accounting for 50-60% of all lost working days
- An estimated €20 billion cost for “work-related stress” (for the EU-15 in 2002)

Statistics from the European Agency for Healthy Workplace Surveys
Q1: What is the legal framework for outlawing bullying in Europe? (1)

- European law including anti-discrimination statutes
- Domestic statutes outlawing bullying (in some jurisdictions)
- Criminal Liability
- Liability from general principles including the right to dignity
### Q1: What is the legal framework for outlawing bullying in Europe? (2)

- What is bullying and when does it begin?
- Not necessary intentional
- More than one incident
- Distinction between bullying based on Protected Characteristics ("discriminatory harassment" and bullying at large)
Q2: What is the legal Framework on the prevention of stress in the workplace?

- **The European Union**
  - The EU Agreement on work-related stress of October 8, 2004:
    - Provides “a framework to prevent or manage problems of work-related stress”
    - Increases “the awareness and understanding of employers, workers and their representatives of work-related stress”

- **Domestic provisions including those relating to Health & Safety in the workplace**

- **The law of negligence (in the UK)**
Q3: How can bullying be “proved” or "disproved"?

- Across Europe, the burden of proof shifts for discriminatory harassment
- Burden of proof also shifts for bullying (France, and Spain)
- Prohibitions against retaliation for discriminatory harassment
- Prohibitions against retaliation for bullying (France)
- Hard to prove bullying at large (with exceptions – France, Spain)
Q4: To what extent is an employer liable for bullying suffered by its employees?

- Acts of an employer or management
- Acts of other employees
- Acts of third-parties
- Acts outside the workplace
- The importance of preventative steps by an employer
Q5: What financial and legal risks do employers face when dealing with bullying and stress claims?

- Damages
- The voiding of terminations and other discriminatory/bullying acts
- Constructive discharge
- Injunctive relief
- Punitive fines
- Social security indemnifications
- Criminal sanctions
Q6: What should employers and other actors be doing to prevent bullying and wrongful stress and to minimize exposure to liability?

- Zero tolerance policies and statements
- Effective practices and procedures
- Training and raising awareness
- Monitor and audit
Q7: What trends are we seeing and what can we expect?

- Cyberbullying and misuse of social media
- Increased remedies, increased awareness and increased claims
- The use of bullying and stress collective agreements and negotiations with works councils, trade unions and other employee representatives
- The difficulty of proving free-standing individual bullying claims
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